07-05-05

pm 1722

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NT & THE re application of: Douglas S. NORDGREN et al.

Confirmation No.: 2558

Application No.:

10/001,532

Group Art Unit: 1722

Filing Date:

October 25, 2001

Examiner: Del Sole, Joseph S.

EXTRUSION DIE WITH HORIZONTAL AND For:

Attorney Docket No.:

VERTICAL EXTRUDATE OPENING ADJUSTMENT

86056-5200-USPT

REQUEST FOR ACTION ON PETITION TO EXPUNGE INFORMATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.59(b) AND M.P.E.P. § 1002.02(c)

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.59(b) and M.P.E.P. § 1002.02(c), Petitioner respectfully requests that a decision be made on Petitioner's outstanding petition to expunge information from the above-referenced patent application. The information to be expunged is a drawing entitled "AMOFOAM® Die Lip Assembly" by D. Nordgren dated December 22, 1998.

The drawing to be expunged was originally submitted to the Patent Office on February 11, 2002 for consideration by the Examiner. A copy of Petitioner's earlier petition filed on February 11, 2002 is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

In a communication filed August 19, 2002, the Patent Office indicated that the decision on Petitioner's petition would be held in abeyance until prosecution on the merits was closed, at which time the petition would be decided. A copy of this communication is annexed hereto as Exhibit B.

Prosecution on the merits was closed on December 19, 2004, when the application was abandoned for failure to respond to a final office action. A copy of the notice of abandonment is annexed hereto as Exhibit C.

Counsel of record has contacted the Patent Office by telephone on several occasions to determine the status of Petitioner's petition. However, no action has been taken to date on Petitioner's petition. Petitioner thus respectfully requests that the outstanding petition to expunge in the above identified application be decided.

No fee or extension of time is believed to be due for this submission. If such a fee or extension is required, please charge any required fees relating to the filing of this paper to Winston & Strawn LLP deposit account no. 50-1814, Ref. No. 86056-05200-USPT.

Respectfully submitted,

Date <u>C/30/05</u>

Daniel J. Hulseberg (By Brian R. Pollack (Reg. No. 36,554) Reg. No. 47,001)

WINSTON & STRAWN CUSTOMER NO. 28763 (212) 294-3310

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of:

Douglas S. Nordgren et al.

Attorney Docket No.

47099-00110

Serial No.:

10/001,532

Group Art:

1722

Filed:

October 25, 2001

Examiner:

unknown

Title:

EXTRUSION DIE WITH

HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL

EXTRUDATE OPENING

ADJUSTMENT

PETITION TO EXPUNGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.59 AND MPEP § 1002.02(c)(17)

Via Hand Delivery to Director of Patent Technology Center Art Unit 1720

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Commissioner:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.59 and MPEP § 1002.02(c), Applicants hereby petition the Commissioner to expunge the proprietary information contained in the accompanying envelope from the PTO record. The information to be expunged is a drawing entitled "AMOFOAM® Die Lip Assembly" by D. Nordgren that is dated December 22, 1998. Such information is proprietary and has otherwise not been made public. Applicants are committed to retaining the information for the period of any patent that issues from the above-captioned patent application. This petition to expunge is being submitted on behalf of the party in interest who originally submitted the patent application.

Applicants request that the Examiner peruse the information to be expunged and return the information Applicants after determining that the information is immaterial.

In Re Application of Nordgren et al. Serial No. 10/001,532

If there are any matters which may be resolved or clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number indicated.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$130.00, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i), to cover the fees associated with the filing of this Petition To Expunge. However, should any fees be deemed necessary (except payment of the issue fee), the Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or to credit any over payment to JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. Account No. 10-0447(Order No. 47099-00110).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 11, 2002

Timothy M. Kowalski

Reg. No. 44,192

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 3200

Dallas, TX 75202-2799

312-425-8518 (Telephone)

214-855-4300 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Applicant



UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

WWW.USPTO.GOV

AUG 19 2002

OIPE CO. D. DUB ON BOTH TO THE PROPERTY OF TRADERIES

Paper No: 3

DUI DOCKETING

DECISION ON PETITION

Mailed:

In re application of Douglas S. Nordgren et al. Serial No. 10/001,532 Filed: October 25, 2001

For: EXTRUSION DIE WITH HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL EXTRUDATE OPENING ADJUSTMENT

This is a decision on the PETITION TO EXPUNGE UNDER MPEP 724.05 AND RULE 59(b): PETITION TO EXPUNGE MATERIALS SUBMITTED UNDER MPEP 724, 724.02, AND 724.05, filed on February 12, 2002 which has been accepted as a timely petition, and is before the Group Director of Technology Center 1700 for consideration.

DECISION

The decision on the petition will be held in abeyance until prosecution on the merits is closed, at which time the petition will be decided, pursuant to MPEP 724.06 (August 2001)

Petitioner requests that a document entitled CONFIDENTIAL .., filed February 25, 2000 be expunged from the record. Petition states either: (A) that the information contains trade secret material, proprietary material and/or material which is subject to a protective order which has not been public; or (B) that the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted, and the information has not otherwise been made public. The petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.17(I) has been paid.

The decision on the petition is held in abeyance because prosecution on the merits is not closed. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to make a final determination of whether or not the material requested to be expunged is "material," with materiality being defined as any information which the examiner considers as being important to a determination of patentability of the claims. Thus, the decision on the petition to expunge must be held in abeyance at this time.

DOCKETED

ACTION DEGLESSON 2002

During prosecution on the merits, the examiner will determine whether or not the identified document is considered to be "material". As soon as the applicant is advised by the examiner that the material submitted is not relevent to the patentability determination, the petition will be reconsidered.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rehard V. Fusher

Richard V. Fisher, Director

Technology Center 1700

Chemical and Materials Engineering

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 West Washington, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60606



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
PE	10/001,532	10/25/2001	Douglas S. Nordgren	8605	56-5200-USP	2558
(\\\\	/)-7 ·	590 01/03/2005			EXAM	
, O 1114 3 0 ,	INSTON &			DEL SOLE, JOSEPH S		
H 3 "	TENT DEP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10.	WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3502			1722	
PATENT & T	RAIR		ABANDONED		DATE MAILED: 01/03/200	95
			120 101			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JAN 0 5 2005

WINSTON & STRAWN DOCKETING DEPT. (D.C.)

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

#394486

OIPE CO									
Jun - 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
THEAT & TRADEMEN	10/001,532	NORDGREN E	NORDGREN ET AL.						
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
This application is abandoned in view of:									
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 18 June 2004. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on 01 October 2004, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the									
final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).									
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).									
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.									
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).									
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).									
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala	nce of \$ is due.		The area of the						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$									
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.									
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).									
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.									
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.									
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.									
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.									
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.									
7. The reason(s) below:	RECEIVED	Joseph	s zalsh						
		V 12/20	JoH						

JAN 0 5 2005

WINSTON & STRAWN DOCKETING DEPT. (D.C.)

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20041335